arXiv:0804.2359v1 [math.AT] 15 Apr 2008

2-PRIMARY ANICK FIBRATIONS

STEPHEN D. THERIAULT

ABSTRACT. Cohen conjectured that for $r \geq 2$ there is a space $T^{2n+1}(2^r)$ and a homotopy fibration sequence $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_r} S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow T^{2n+1}(2^r) \longrightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}$ with the property that the composition $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_r} S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{E^2} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ is homotopic to the 2^r-power map. We positively resolve this conjecture when $r \geq 3$. Several preliminary results are also proved which are of interest in their own right.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime and r a non-negative integer. Localize spaces and maps at p. Let $E^2\colon S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ be the double suspension. When $p \geq 5$ and $r \geq 1$, Anick [A] proved the existence of a space $T^{2n+1}(p^r)$ and a homotopy fibration sequence

$$\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_r} S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow T^{2n+1}(p^r) \longrightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1} \tag{1}$$

with the property that $E^2 \circ \varphi_r$ is homotopic to the p^r-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$. The proof of this was long and complex. A much simpler proof was given in [GT] for $p \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ by using a new method for constructing certain extensions. A variation of this method was used in [T] to reproduce Cohen, Moore, and Neisendorfer's result [CMN1, CMN2] that p^n annihilates the p-torsion in $\pi_*(S^{2n+1})$ when p is odd.

The new method raised the possibility of producing 2-primary Anick fibrations. Such fibrations were conjectured to exist by Cohen if $r \geq 2$. When $r = 1$ the fibrations cannot exist for reasons related to the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one. In this paper, we positively resolve Cohen's conjecture when $r \geq 3$. The approach is a modification of that in [T]. Specifically, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r \geq 3$. There is a space $T^{2n+1}(2^r)$ and a homotopy fibration sequence*

$$\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_r} S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow T^{2n+1}(2^r) \longrightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}$$

with the property that the composition $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_r} S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{E^2} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ is homotopic to the 2^r-power map.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P35, Secondary 55Q40.

Key words and phrases. Anick's fibration, double suspension, power map, exponent.

The $r = 2$ case remains open; it falls outside the present approach because we proceed in two steps, each of which involves introducing an extra power of 2 to annihilate certain obstructions.

In order to apply the approach in [T], two preliminary results need to be proved, each of which is somewhat involved. The first is given in Theorem 1.2; to state it requires requires several definitions. The EHP-sequence is a homotopy fibration sequence $\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{P}S^n\xrightarrow{E}\Omega S^{n+1}\xrightarrow{H}\Omega S^{2n+1}$ where H is the second James-Hopf invariant, E is the suspension, and P is the connecting map for the fibration. This fibration forms the basis for the EHP-spectral sequence which calculates the homotopy groups of spheres. Let $\underline{2}: S^{2n+1}\longrightarrow S^{2n+1}$ be the map of degree 2, and let $2:\Omega S^{2n+1}\longrightarrow\Omega S^{2n+1}$ be the second-power map. Let $\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\}$ be the homotopy fiber of the second-power map, and let D^{2n+1} be the homotopy fiber of the difference $\Omega\underline{2}-2$. In [C, §4] it is shown that the map $\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{\Omega H}\Omega^2S^{4n+1}$ has order 2, implying that ΩH lifts to a map $S:\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\longrightarrow\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$. The same argument in [C, §4] shows that the composite $\Omega^2S^{2n}\xrightarrow{\Omega H}\Omega^2S^{4n-1}\xrightarrow{\Omega^2\underline{2}-2}\Omega^2S^{4n-1}$ is null homotopic, implying that in this case ΩH lifts to a map $S':\Omega^2S^{2n}\longrightarrow\Omega D^{4n-1}$. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *There are choices of the lifts S and S' for which there are homotopy commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "\Omega E"] & \Omega S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2"] & \Omega^3S^{4n+1} \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[rr, "S"] & & \Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "\Omega E"] & \Omega S^{4n-3} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2"] & \Omega^3S^{4n-1} \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^2S^{2n} \arrow[rr, "S'"] & & \Omega D^{4n-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the maps labelled h are Hopf invariants in the sense that there in each case there is a homotopy fibration $S^n\xrightarrow{E}\Omega S^{n+1}\xrightarrow{h}\Omega S^{2n+1}$.

Taking homotopy fibers vertically in the two diagrams in Theorem 1.2 we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.3. *There are homotopy commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^3S^{4n+1} \arrow[rr, equal] \arrow[d, "P"] & & \Omega^3S^{4n+1} \arrow[d, "2"] \\
\Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "h"] & \Omega S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2"] & \Omega^3S^{4n+1}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^3S^{4n-1} \arrow[rr, equal] \arrow[d, "P"] & & \Omega^3S^{4n-1} \arrow[d, "\Omega^3\underline{2}-2"] \\
\Omega S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "h"] & \Omega S^{4n-3} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2"] & \Omega^3S^{4n-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Corollary 1.3 was proved by Richter [R], who also showed that the map h could be taken to be the second James-Hopf invariant H. Theorem 1.2 can therefore be viewed as showing that there is an advantageous choice of the lift S which lets us "deloop" Richter's result.

An earlier version of the left diagram in Corollary 1.3 was proved by Selick [S] which involved a factorization of the 2^{nd}-power map on Ω^4S^{2n+1} through Ω^2E^2. This was used to show that $2^{\frac{3}{2}n+\epsilon}$ annihilates the 2-torsion in $\pi_*(S^{2n+1})$, where $\epsilon = 0$ if n is even and $\epsilon = 1$ if n is odd. This is the best known upper bound on the 2-primary homotopy exponent of spheres. The right diagram in Corollary 1.3 is related to the very delicate problem of determining whether the difference $d = \Omega\underline{2} - 2$ on ΩS^{2n+1} is null homotopic after some number of loops, which would imply that the degree 2 map induces multiplication by 2 on homotopy groups. It is known [C, §11] that d is null homotopic if and only if $n \in \{0, 1, 3\}$, which are cases related to the existence of an element of Hopf invariant one, and Ωd is null homotopic if and only if there exists an element of Arf invariant one in $\pi_{4n+1}(S^{2n+1})$. On the other hand, in [CJ] it is shown that for certain values of n the difference $\Omega^k d$ is essential for large values of k. Possibly properties of the map S' in Theorem 1.2 will help give more information about $\Omega^2 d$.

The second preliminary result concerns the fiber W_n of the double suspension $S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{E^2} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$. In what follows we will need to know that the 4^{th}-power map on ΩW_{2n} is null homotopic. We show this is the case for all ΩW_n. This uses a factorization of the 4^{th}-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ through E^2 which is of interest in its own right.

Theorem 1.4. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "4"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[d, "E^2"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

A weaker version of Theorem 1.4 with one more loop was proved in [C, §5].

In [B-R] it was shown that the 4^{th}-power map on $\Omega^2 W_n$ is null homotopic, implying that $4 \cdot \pi_*(W_n) = 0$. This is best possible in the sense that the 2^{nd}-power map on $\Omega^2 W_n$ is known to be essential. We improve this by removing one loop.

Theorem 1.5. *The 4^{th}-power map on ΩW_n is null homotopic.*

Since W_n is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega B W_n$, it is an H-space. It would be interesting to know whether the 4^{th}-power map on W_n is null homotopic.

This paper is organized as follows. The first preliminary result, Theorem 1.2, is proved in Sections 2 and 3. The precursor to the second preliminary result, Theorem 1.4, is proved in Section 4, while the second preliminary result itself, Theorem 1.5, is proved in Section 5. With these in hand, Theorem 1.1 is outlined in Section 6 with some details deferred to Section 7.

2. The fiber of the reduced diagonal

The context in which Theorem 1.2 is proved involves the fiber of the reduced diagonal, applied naturally to the map $S^n \xrightarrow{E} \Omega S^{n+1}$. Let $\Delta : X \longrightarrow X \times X$ be the diagonal map. Composing with the quotient map $X \times X \longrightarrow X \wedge X$ gives the reduced diagonal $\overline{\Delta} : X \longrightarrow X \wedge X$. The map $\overline{\Delta}$ is natural for maps $X \longrightarrow Y$ and induces a natural homotopy fibration sequence

$$\Omega(X\wedge X)\longrightarrow F(X)\longrightarrow X\xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}} X\wedge X.$$

Here, the fiber $F(X)$ is defined specifically as the topological pullback of $\overline{\Delta}$ and the evaluation map $P(X\wedge X)\longrightarrow X\wedge X$, where $P(X\wedge X)$ is the path space on $X\wedge X$.

In the special case when $X=\Omega S^{n+1}$, Richter [R] showed that there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega S^{n+1} \arrow[r, "H"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Delta}"] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "1+\Omega(-\underline{1}^{n})"] \\
\Omega S^{n+1}\wedge\Omega S^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

for some map α. An explicit choice of the map α was described in [R], but we will not need this. We only care that there is some choice of α that makes the diagram homotopy commute. Let G^{2n+1} be the homotopy fiber of $1+\Omega(-\underline{1}^{n})$. Observe that when $n=2m$ then $1+\Omega(-\underline{1}^{n})=2$ and so $G^{4m+1}\simeq\Omega S^{4m+1}\{2\}$. When $n=2m-1$ then $1+\Omega(-\underline{1}^{n})=1+\Omega(-\underline{1})$ and by [C, §4] the latter is homotopic to $\Omega\underline{2}-2$. Thus G^{4m-1} is homotopy equivalent to the space D^{4m-1} defined in the Introduction. Taking vertical homotopy fibers in (2) gives a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(\Omega S^{n+1}) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & G^{2n+1} \arrow[d] \\
\Omega S^{n+1} \arrow[r, "H"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

for some map f of fibers.

Now consider precomposing with the suspension $S^{n}\xrightarrow{E}\Omega S^{n+1}$. The naturality of the reduced diagonal gives a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(S^{n}) \arrow[r, "F(E)"] \arrow[d] & F(\Omega S^{n+1}) \arrow[d] \\
S^{2n} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Juxtapose this diagram with (3). Since $H\circ E$ is null homotopic, so is the composite $F(S^{n})\xrightarrow{F(E)} F(\Omega S^{2n+1})\xrightarrow{f} G^{2n+1}\longrightarrow\Omega S^{2n+1}$. Thus $f\circ F(E)$ lifts to the homotopy fiber of $G^{2n+1}\longrightarrow\Omega S^{2n+1}$, giving a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(S^{n}) \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "F(E)"] & \Omega^{2}S^{2n+1} \arrow[d] \\
F(\Omega S^{2n+1}) \arrow[r, "f"] & G^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

for some map g. We wish to analyze (4) after looping.

Since S^n is a co-H space, its reduced diagonal is null homotopic, and so $F(S^n) \simeq S^n \times \Omega(S^n \wedge S^n)$. Let g_1 and g_2 be the restrictions of $F(S^{2n}) \xrightarrow{g} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ to S^n and $\Omega(S^n \wedge S^n)$ respectively. After looping, multiplicativity implies that Ωg is determined by the restrictions Ωg_1 and Ωg_2. Since G^{2n+1} is $(2n-2)$-connected, g_1 is null homotopic. Thus Ωg factors through Ωg_2, which we state as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega F(S^n) \arrow[r, "\Omega\pi_2"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^2(S^n \wedge S^n) \arrow[d, "\Omega g_2"] \\
\Omega F(S^n) \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] & \Omega^3 S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π_2 is the projection. □

3. Projective planes and the proof of Theorem 1.2

We begin with two general results in Theorems 3.1 and 3.3. The first is due to Milgram [M]. To state it we first need to define several spaces and maps. Let X be a simply-connected space. Let $\mu^* : \Sigma\Omega X \wedge \Omega X \longrightarrow \Sigma\Omega X$ be the canonical Hopf construction. This induces a homotopy cofibration $\Sigma\Omega X \wedge \Omega X \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \Sigma\Omega X \xrightarrow{i} PP(\Omega X) \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma^2\Omega X \wedge \Omega X$ which defines the space $PP(\Omega X)$ and the maps i and ρ. The space $PP(\Omega X)$ is called the projective plane of ΩX. To analyze $PP(\Omega X)$ more closely Milgram gave an explicit point-set model. Using this he was able to prove a factorization of the reduced diagonal $PP(\Omega X) \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}} PP(\Omega X) \wedge PP(\Omega X)$, which is stated in Theorem 3.1. The model comes equipped with a canonical extension of the evaluation map $ev : \Sigma\Omega X \longrightarrow X$ to a map $\overline{ev} : PP(\Omega X) \longrightarrow X$. Let $\tau : \Sigma A \wedge B \longrightarrow A \wedge \Sigma B$ be the map which switches the suspension coordinate.

Theorem 3.1. *There is a factorization*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
PP(\Omega X) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Delta}"] & \Sigma^2\Omega X \wedge \Omega X \arrow[r, "\Sigma\tau"] & \Sigma\Omega X \wedge \Sigma\Omega X \arrow[d, "i\wedge i"] \\
PP(\Omega X) \wedge PP(\Omega X) \arrow[rr, equal] & & PP(\Omega X) \wedge PP(\Omega X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, this factorization is natural for maps $X \longrightarrow X'$. □

The naturality of the reduced diagonal gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
PP(\Omega X) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Delta}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{ev}"] & PP(\Omega X) \wedge PP(\Omega X) \arrow[d, "\overline{ev}\wedge\overline{ev}"] \\
X \arrow[r, "\overline{\Delta}"] & X \wedge X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Combining this with the commutative diagram in Theorem 3.1 and using the fact that $\overline{ev} \circ i \simeq ev$ immediately gives the following.

Corollary 3.2. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
PP(\Omega X) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\overline{ev}"] & \Sigma^2\Omega X \wedge \Omega X \arrow[r, "\Sigma\tau"] & \Sigma\Omega X \wedge \Sigma\Omega X \arrow[d, "ev\wedge ev"] \\
X \arrow[rr, "\overline{\Delta}"] & & X \wedge X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, this diagram is natural for maps $X \longrightarrow X'$. □

Theorem 3.1 was referred to by Richter [R] as the basis of an alternative proof of his results, which we have stated in Corollary 1.3. No details were given, and it may or may not be the case that the alternative proof went along the lines presented here.

The second general result concerns Peterson and Stein's [PS] formulas for secondary cohomology operations, which was reformulated in terms of spaces by Harper [H, Ch. 3]. Suppose there is a sequence of maps $A \xrightarrow{s} B \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{t} D$. Let C and M be the mapping cones of s and t respectively. Let F be the topological fiber of t, that is, the pullback of t and the path-evaluation map $P(D) \longrightarrow D$. Suppose there is a homotopy $H : B\times I \longrightarrow D$ between the composites $B \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{t} D$ and $B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow D$. Then the following holds.

Theorem 3.3. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a"] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma A \arrow[d, "c"] \\
F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b"] & E \arrow[r] & D \arrow[r] & M \\
\Omega M & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the map c *is homotopic to the adjoint of* $b\circ a$. □

Remark 3.4. The construction in [H, Ch. 3] is natural for a strictly commuting map of sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b"] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D \arrow[d, "d"] \\
A' \arrow[r] & B' \arrow[r] & C' \arrow[r] & D'
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is compatible with the homotopies $H : B\times I \longrightarrow D$ and $H' : B'\times I \longrightarrow D'$, that is, such that $d\circ H \simeq H'\circ(b\times 1)$.

In our case, apply Theorem 3.3 to the homotopy commutative diagram in Corollary 3.2 to obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma\Omega X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a"] & PP(\Omega X) \arrow[r, "\partial"] \arrow[d, "\overline{ev}"] & \Sigma^2\Omega X \wedge \Omega X \arrow[r, "\Sigma\mu^*"] \arrow[d, "(ev\wedge ev)\circ\Sigma\tau"] & \Sigma^2\Omega X \arrow[d, "c"] \\
F(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b"] & X \arrow[r, "\overline{\Delta}"] & X \wedge X \arrow[r] & C(X) \\
\Omega C(X) & & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

where $C(X)$ is the mapping cone of $\overline{\Delta}$, $F(X)$ is the topological fiber of $\overline{\Delta}$, and c is homotopic to the adjoint of $b \circ a$. Further, the naturality statements in Corollary 3.2 and Remark 3.4 imply that this diagram is natural for maps $X \longrightarrow X'$.

We will use (5) in two ways. First, the naturality property applied to the map $S^n \xrightarrow{E} \Omega S^{n+1}$ gives, in particular, a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma\Omega S^n \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "\Sigma\Omega E"] & F(S^{2n}) \arrow[d, "F(E)"] \\
\Sigma\Omega^2 S^{n+1} \arrow[r, "a"] & F(\Omega S^{n+1}).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6}$$

Combining this with material in Section 2 gives a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & \Omega^2(S^n \wedge S^n) \arrow[d, "\Omega g_2"] \\
\Omega S^n \arrow[r, "E"] \arrow[d, "\Omega E"] & \Omega\Sigma\Omega S^n \arrow[r, "\Omega a"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\Sigma\Omega E"] & \Omega F(S^n) \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] \arrow[ur, "\Omega\pi_2"] \arrow[d, "\Omega F(E)"] & \Omega^3 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^2 S^{n+1} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega\Sigma\Omega^2 S^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega a"] & \Omega F(\Omega S^{n+1}) \arrow[r, "\Omega f"] & \Omega G.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7}$$

Here, the left square homotopy commutes by the naturality of the suspension, the middle square is due to (6), the right square to (4), and the upper triangle to Lemma 2.1.

The second way in which we use (5) is show that the composite $\Omega g_2 \circ \Omega\pi_2 \circ \Omega a \circ E$ along the top in (7) is homotopic to a composite $\Omega S^n \xrightarrow{h} \Omega S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{\Omega E^2} \Omega^3 S^{2n+1}$ for a map h which is an epimorphism in homology. Doing so will let us conclude Theorem 1.2.

We begin with some general observations. The adjoint of a map $s : \Sigma A \longrightarrow B$ is homotopic to the composite $A \xrightarrow{E} \Omega\Sigma A \xrightarrow{\Omega s} \Omega B$. Taking the adjoint of the right square in (5) gives a homotopy commutative diagram

(8)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma\Omega X \wedge \Omega X \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega(\Sigma^2\Omega X \wedge \Omega X) \arrow[r, "\Omega\Sigma\mu^*"] \arrow[d, "\Omega(ev\wedge ev)\circ\Omega\Sigma\tau"] & \Omega\Sigma^2\Omega X \arrow[d, "\Omega c"] \\
& \Omega(X \wedge X) \arrow[r] & \Omega C(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider both directions around the diagram. The naturality of E implies that the upper direction around (8) is homotopic to $\Omega c \circ E \circ \mu^*$. But $\Omega c \circ E$ is homotopic to the adjoint of c, and we know from (5) that the adjoint of c is also homotopic to $b \circ a$. Therefore the upper direction around (8) is homotopic to the composite

$$\Sigma\Omega X \wedge \Omega X \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \Sigma\Omega X \xrightarrow{a} F(X) \xrightarrow{b} \Omega C(X). \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, in Lemma 3.5 we show that in the special case $X = \Sigma Y$ the lower direction around (8) is homotopic to a composite

$$\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Y \wedge \Sigma Y \xrightarrow{E} \Omega(\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y) \longrightarrow \Omega C(\Sigma Y) \tag{10}$$

for some map ϵ.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose X is a suspension, $X = \Sigma Y$. Then there is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, "\epsilon"] & \Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega(\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y) \arrow[d, "\Omega(ev\wedge ev)"] \\
Y \wedge \Sigma Y \arrow[rr, "E"] & & \Omega(\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. First observe that the Hopf construction can be used to obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma Y \vee (\Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y) \xrightarrow{1\vee(\Sigma E\wedge 1)} \Sigma Y \vee (\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma E+\mu^*} \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y.$$

This can be applied to $\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y$ by decomposing the right smash factor, then switching the suspension coordinate to the left smash factor, and decomposing again. From this we obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$e : (Y \vee \Sigma Y) \vee (Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y) \vee (Y \wedge \Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y) \vee (Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y) \longrightarrow \Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y$$

where the first wedge summand maps in by $E \wedge \Sigma E$, the second maps in through $\mu^* \wedge 1$, the third maps in through $1 \wedge \mu^*$, and the fourth maps in by either $\mu^* \wedge 1$ or $1 \wedge \mu^*$ (the "1" here refers to the identity map on $\Omega\Sigma Y$). Thus to prove the Lemma it is equivalent to show that $\Omega(ev \wedge ev) \circ E \circ e$ is null homotopic when restricted to the second, third, and fourth wedge summands.

We will use the homotopy fibration $\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \xrightarrow{ev} Y$, due to Ganea [Ga]. The naturality of E implies that the composite

$$\Omega\Sigma Y \vee (\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y) \xrightarrow{1\wedge\mu^*} \Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \xrightarrow{E} \Omega(\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y) \xrightarrow{\Omega(ev\wedge ev)} \Omega(\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y)$$

is homotopic to $\Omega(ev \wedge ev) \circ \Omega\Sigma(1 \wedge \mu^*) \circ E$. But the latter is null homotopic as $ev \circ \mu^*$ is null homotopic. Thus $\Omega(ev \wedge ev) \circ E \circ e$ is null homotopic when restricted to the second and fourth wedge summands. Similarly, if the suspension coordinate is switched to the left smash factor, then $\Omega(ev \wedge ev) \circ E \circ (\mu^* 1)$ is null homotopic and so $\Omega(ev \wedge ev) \circ E \circ e$ is null homotopic when restricted to the third (and fourth) wedge summand. $\square$

Using the homotopies in (9) and (10) to replace the upper and lower directions in (8) when $X = \Sigma Y$, we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

(11)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y \arrow[r, "\mu^*"] \arrow[d, "\epsilon"] & \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \arrow[r, "a"] & F(\Sigma Y) \arrow[d, "b"] \\
Y \wedge \Sigma Y \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y \arrow[r] & \Omega C(\Sigma Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since ΣY is a suspension, the reduced diagonal $\Sigma Y \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}} \Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y$ is null homotopic. Therefore there are homotopy equivalences $F(\Sigma Y) \simeq \Sigma Y \times \Omega(\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y)$ and $C(\Sigma Y) \simeq \Sigma^2 Y \vee (\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y)$. Further, the projection of $\Omega(\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y)$ off $F(\Sigma Y)$ can be chosen to be the composite $F(\Sigma Y) \xrightarrow{b} \Omega C(\Sigma Y) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \Omega(\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y)$. Thus (11) implies that there is a homotopy commutative diagram

(12)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \wedge \Omega\Sigma Y \arrow[r, "\mu^*"] \arrow[d, "\epsilon"] & \Sigma\Omega\Sigma Y \arrow[r, "a"] & F(\Sigma Y) \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] \\
Y \wedge \Sigma Y \arrow[rr, "E"] & & \Omega(\Sigma Y \wedge \Sigma Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now we return to the case of interest. Take $Y = S^{n-1}$ in (12) and combine it with the factorization in Lemma 2.1 to obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

(13)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega(\Sigma\Omega S^n \wedge \Omega S^n) \arrow[r, "\Omega\mu^*"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\epsilon"] & \Omega\Sigma\Omega S^n \arrow[r, "\Omega a"] & \Omega F(S^n) \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\pi_2"] & \Omega^3 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega(S^{n-1} \wedge \Sigma S^{n-1}) \arrow[rr, "\Omega E"] & & \Omega^2(S^n \wedge S^n) \arrow[r, "\Omega g_2"] & \Omega^3 S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Two adjustments can be made to (13). First, since g_2 is degree one in $H_{2n-2}(\ )$, the composite $g_2 \circ E$ is homotopic to E^2. Second, since the homotopy fibration $\Sigma\Omega S^n \wedge \Omega S^n \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \Sigma\Omega S^n \xrightarrow{ev} S^n$ has a section $i : S^n \longrightarrow \Sigma\Omega S^n$ given by including the bottom cell, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega S^n \times \Omega(\Sigma\Omega S^n \wedge \Omega S^n) \xrightarrow{\Omega i \times \Omega\mu^*} \Omega\Sigma\Omega S^n \times \Omega\Sigma\Omega S^n \xrightarrow{\ \mu\ } \Omega\Sigma\Omega S^n$$

where μ is the loop multiplication. By connectivity, the composite $a \circ g \circ i$ is null homotopic, so after looping, $\Omega g \circ \Omega a$ is homotopic to the composite $\Omega\Sigma\Omega S^n \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \Omega(\Sigma\Omega S^n \wedge \Omega S^n) \xrightarrow{\Omega(g \circ a \circ \mu^*)} \Omega^3 S^{2n+1}$, where π_2 is the projection. Combining (13) and the two adjustments we have homotopies

$$\Omega g \circ \Omega a \simeq \Omega g \circ \Omega a \circ \Omega\mu^* \circ \pi_2 \simeq \Omega g_2 \circ \Omega E \circ \Omega\epsilon \circ \pi_2 \simeq \Omega E^2 \circ \Omega\epsilon \circ \pi_2.$$

That is, there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega\Sigma\Omega S^{n} \arrow[r, "\Omega a"] \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & \Omega F(S^{n}) \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] & \Omega^{3}S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega(\Sigma\Omega S^{n}\wedge\Omega S^{n}) \arrow[r, "\Omega\epsilon"] & \Omega S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^{2}"] & \Omega^{3}S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

Finally, precompose (13) with $\Omega S^{2n}\xrightarrow{E}\Omega\Sigma\Omega S^{n}$. Let h be the composite

$$h:\Omega S^{n}\xrightarrow{E}\Omega\Sigma\Omega S^{n}\xrightarrow{\pi_2}\Omega(\Sigma\Omega S^{n}\wedge\Omega S^{n})\xrightarrow{\Omega\epsilon}\Omega S^{2n-1}.$$

A homology calculation shows that h_* is an epimorphism. Thus a Serre spectral sequence calculation shows that the fiber of h has homology isomorphic to $H_*(S^{n-1})$ and so is homotopy equivalent to S^{n-1}. Incorporating h into (14) and reorienting, we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega S^{n} \arrow[rrr, "h"] \arrow[d, equal] & & & \Omega S^{2n-1} \arrow[d, "\Omega E^{2}"] \\
\Omega S^{n} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega\Sigma\Omega S^{n} \arrow[r, "\Omega a"] & \Omega F(S^{n}) \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] & \Omega^{3}S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{15}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Put (15) together with the lower rectangle in (7) to obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega S^{n} \arrow[rrr, "h"] \arrow[d, equal] & & & \Omega S^{2n-1} \arrow[d, "\Omega E^{2}"] \\
\Omega S^{n} \arrow[r, "E"] \arrow[d, "\Omega E"] & \Omega\Sigma\Omega S^{n} \arrow[r, "\Omega a"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\Sigma\Omega E"] & \Omega F(S^{n}) \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] \arrow[d, "\Omega F(E)"] & \Omega^{3}S^{2n+1} \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^{2}S^{n+1} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega\Sigma\Omega^{2}S^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega a"] & \Omega F(\Omega S^{n+1}) \arrow[r, "\Omega f"] & \Omega G^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{16}$$

When $n=2m$, let S be the composite $\Omega f\circ\Omega a\circ E$ along the bottom row, and when $n=2m-1$ let S' be the composite $\Omega f\circ\Omega a\circ E$ along the bottom row. Then the outer perimeter of (16) gives both diagrams asserted by the theorem. □

4. FACTORING THE 4^{th}-POWER MAP ON Ω^2S^{2n+1} THROUGH THE DOUBLE SUSPENSION

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4. We begin by recalling $H_*(\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\})$. Consider the homotopy fibration sequence

$$\Omega^{2}S^{2n+1}\longrightarrow\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\}\longrightarrow\Omega S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{2}\Omega S^{2n+1}.$$

Since 2_* is zero in homology, the Serre spectral sequence converging to $H_*(\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\})$ collapses at E_2, and so there is a coalgebra isomorphism $H_*(\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\})\cong H_*(\Omega S^{2n+1})\otimes H_*(\Omega^{2}S^{2n+1})$. Recall that $H_*(\Omega S^{2n+1})\cong\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}[a_{2n}]$ and $H_*(\Omega^{2}S^{2n+1})\cong(\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty}\Lambda(b_{2^{i}n-1}))\otimes(\otimes_{i=2}^{\infty}\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}[c_{2^{i}n-2}])$, where $\beta b_{2^in-1} = c_{2^in-2}$ for $i \geq 2$. Further, the inclusion of the bottom Moore space $P^{2n}(2) \longrightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\}$ implies that $\beta a_{2n} = b_{2n-1}$ in $H_*(\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\})$.

Lemma 4.1. *There is a space A and a map $\overline{E}\colon A \longrightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\}$ such that $H_*(A) \cong \Lambda(x_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$ with $\beta y_{2n} = x_{2n-1}$, and $\overline{E}_*$ is an inclusion.*

Proof. Let $Y = (\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\})_{4n-1}$. A vector space basis for $H_*(Y)$ is given by

$$\{b_{2n-1}, a_{2n}, c_{4n-2}, b_{4n-1}, b_{2n-1} \otimes a_{2n}\}$$

and the action of the Bockstein is given by $\beta a_{2n} = b_{2n-1}$ and $\beta b_{4n-1} = c_{4n-2}$. Let Z be the quotient space obtained by pinching out the bottom Moore space $P^{2n}(2)$ in Y. A vector space basis for $H_*(Z)$ is given by $\{d_{4n-2}, e_{4n-1}, f_{4n-1}\}$ where these three elements are the images of $c_{4n-2}, b_{4n-1}, b_{2n-1} \otimes a_{2n}$ respectively. In particular, $\beta e_{4n-1} = d_{4n-2}$. For low dimensional reasons, the homotopy type of Z is determined by its homology, so $Z \simeq P^{4n-1}(2) \vee S^{4n-1}$. Pinching to the Moore space gives a composite $Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow P^{4n-1}(2)$ which is onto in homology. Define the space F by the homotopy fibration

$$F \xrightarrow{t} Y \longrightarrow P^{4n-1}(2).$$

Let A be the $(4n-1)$-skeleton of F. A Serre spectral sequence calculation shows that $H_*(A)$ has vector space basis $\{x_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, z_{4n-1}\}$ where t_* sends these three elements to b_{2n-1}, a_{2n}, and $b_{2n-1} \otimes a_{2n}$ respectively. Since t_* is a coalgebra map and commutes with Bocksteins, we obtain a coalgebra isomorphism $H_*(A) \cong \Lambda(x_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$ with $\beta y_{2n} = x_{2n-1}$. Moreover, by construction, the composite

$$\overline{E} : A = (F)_{4n-1} \hookrightarrow F \longrightarrow Y = (\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\})_{4n-1} \hookrightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\}.$$

is an inclusion in homology. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *There is a homotopy fibration $S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow S^{2n}$ and a homotopy fibration diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2n-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "E^2"] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\overline{E}"] & S^{2n} \arrow[d, "E"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\} \arrow[r] & \Omega S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Since A has dimension $4n-1$, the composite $A \xrightarrow{\overline{E}} \Omega S^{2n+1}\{2\} \longrightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}$ factors through the $(4n-1)$-skeleton of ΩS^{2n+1}, which is S^{2n}, giving a map $f : A \longrightarrow S^{2n}$ which makes the right square in the statement of the lemma homotopy commute. Since $\overline{E}_*$ is an inclusion, f_* is onto. A Serre spectral sequence calculation shows that the homotopy fiber of f has homology isomorphic to $H_*(S^{2n-1})$ and so the fiber is homotopy equivalent to S^{2n-1}. Again, since $\overline{E}_*$ is onto, the induced map of fibers $S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow \Omega^2 S^{2n-1}$ is degree one in $H_{2n-1}(\ )$ and so is homotopic to E^2. $\square$

Let $\partial_A : \Omega S^{2n} \longrightarrow S^{2n-1}$ be the connecting map for the homotopy fibration $S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow S^{2n}$. Continuing the homotopy fibration diagram in Lemma 4.2 one step to the left proves the following.

Corollary 4.3. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "\partial_A"] \arrow[d, "\Omega E"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[d, "E^2"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "2"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4: By [C, §4], the composite $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{2} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega H} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}$ is null homotopic, so there is a lift of 2 through ΩE to a map $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \longrightarrow \Omega S^{2n}$. Combining this with Corollary 4.3 gives a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "\partial_A"] \arrow[d, "\Omega E"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[d, "E^2"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[ur] \arrow[r, "2"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "2"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

which proves the theorem. □

Remark 4.4. The space A is similar to the unit tangent bundle $\tau(S^{2n})$. They both have the same homology over the Steenrod algebra, and both are total spaces in fibrations over S^{2n} with fiber S^{2n-1}. It would be curious to know whether A is homotopy equivalent to $\tau(S^{2n})$.

5. THE 4^{th}-POWER MAP ON ΩW_n

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. To do so we will use Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.3 along with several other known results collected in Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

For $r \geq 1$, let $\underline{2}^r \colon S^{2n+1} \longrightarrow S^{2n+1}$ be the map of degree 2^r, and let $S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\}$ be its homotopy fiber. Let $2^r \colon \Omega S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{2^r} \Omega S^{2n+1}$ be the 2^r-power map, and let $\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2^r\}$ be its homotopy fiber. Note that the 2^r-power map need not be an H-space so $\Omega S^{2n+1}\{2^r\}$ need not be an H-space. Theorem 5.1 summarizes some of the information from [C, §4] regarding the difference $\Omega\underline{2}^r - 2^r$.

Theorem 5.1. *The following hold:*

(a) *the composite* $\Omega S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega\underline{2}-2} \Omega S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega H} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}$ *is null homotopic;*

(b) *after looping,* $\Omega^2\underline{2}^r - 2^r$ *has order* 2, *and there are homotopies* $2^{r+1} \simeq 2\Omega^2\underline{2}^r \simeq \Omega^2\underline{2}^{r+1}$.

□

Next, from the double suspension we obtain a homotopy fibration $W_n \longrightarrow S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{E^2} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ which defines the space W_n. Gray [Gr] showed that W_n has a classifying space BW_n which fits nicely with the EHP-sequence. Specifically, he proved the following.

Theorem 5.2. *There are homotopy fibrations*

$$S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{E^2} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\nu} BW_n$$

$$BW_n \xrightarrow{j} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi} S^{4n-1}$$

satisfying the following properties:

(a) *the composite* $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\nu} BW_n \xrightarrow{j} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}$ *is homotopic to the looped Hopf invariant* ΩH*;*

(b) *the composite* $S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{E^2} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi} S^{4n-1}$ *is homotopic to the degree* 2 *map;*

(c) *the composite* $BW_n \xrightarrow{j} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1} \xrightarrow{2} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}$ *is null homotopic;*

(d) *after looping,* $\Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega\phi} \Omega S^{4n-1}$ *is homotopic to the composite* $\Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega P} \Omega S^{2n} \xrightarrow{H} \Omega S^{4n-1}$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.5: The proof is divided into two cases, one for ΩW_{2n} and the other for ΩW_{2n-1}.

Case 1: $\mathbf{\Omega W_{2n}}$. Consider the homotopy fibration sequence

$$\Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega\nu} W_{2n} \xrightarrow{g} S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{E^2} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}$$

where the left map has been identified as a loop map by Theorem 5.2. By Theorem 5.2 (b), the degree 2 map on S^{4n-1} factors through E^2, so the composite $W_{2n} \xrightarrow{g} S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{2} S^{4n-1}$ is null homotopic. On the other hand, after looping we have $2 \circ \Omega g \simeq \Omega g \circ 2$. Thus $(\Omega\underline{2} - 2) \circ \Omega g \simeq -2\Omega g$. By Theorem 5.1 (a), $H \circ (\Omega\underline{2} - 2) \simeq *$. Therefore $H \circ (-2\Omega g) \simeq *$, and so $-2\Omega g$ lifts to the fiber of H to give a homotopy commutative diagram

(17)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega W_{2n} \arrow[r, "-2"] \arrow[d, "\lambda"] & \Omega W_{2n} \arrow[d, "\Omega g"] \\
S^{4n-2} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega S^{4n-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

for some map λ.

Next, consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\nu"] \arrow[d, "2"] \arrow[dl] & W_{2n} \arrow[d, "2"] \\
\Omega S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2"] & \Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\nu"] & W_{2n}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The left triangle homotopy commutes by Corollary 1.3. The right square homotopy commutes since $\Omega\nu$ is a loop map. The bottom row is two consecutive maps in a homotopy fibration and so is null homotopic. Thus $2 \circ \Omega\nu \simeq *$, implying that there is a homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega W_{2n} \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] \arrow[d, "2"] & \Omega S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & \Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\nu"] \arrow[d] & W_{2n} \arrow[d, "2"] \\
\Omega W_{2n} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega W_{2n} \arrow[r] & * \arrow[r] & W_{2n}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{18}$$

for some map γ.

Juxtaposing (17) and the left most square in (18) gives a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega W_{2n} \arrow[r, "-2"] \arrow[d, "\lambda"] & \Omega W_{2n} \arrow[r, "2"] \arrow[d, "\Omega g"] & \Omega W_{2n} \arrow[d, equal] \\
S^{4n-2} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\gamma"] & \Omega W_{2n}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The lower row is null homotopic since ΩW_{2n} is $(8n-5)$-connected. Thus -4 is null homotopic on ΩW_{2n}, and so 4 is null homotopic as well.

Case 2: $\mathbf{\Omega W_{2n-1}}$. In this case we do not have a factorization of the degree 2 map on S^{4n-3} through the double suspension as we did for S^{4n-1} in Case 1, so we have to work harder. We begin with some general statements which are valid for any W_n. Since the map $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega^2\underline{2}} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ is degree two on the bottom cell, there is a homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] \arrow[d, "\underline{2}"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "\Omega^2\underline{2}"] \\
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{19}$$

for some map ψ. We will show that $\psi \circ \psi$ is null homotopic using an argument due to [CMN1]. By Theorem 5.1 (b), $\Omega^2\underline{4} \simeq 4$ as self-maps of $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$, and by Theorem 1.4, the 4^{th}-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ factors through the double suspension. Thus the two fibration diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] \arrow[d, "\underline{2}"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "\Omega^2\underline{2}"] \\
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] \arrow[d, "\underline{2}"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "\Omega^2\underline{2}"] \\
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] \arrow[d, "\underline{4}"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d, "="] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[d, "E^2"] \\
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

give two choices of a morphism of homotopy fibrations which covers the 4^{th}-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$. The obstructions to the two morphisms being fiber homotopic lie in $H^{2n-1}(S^{2n-1}; \pi_{2n-1}(W_n))$. But $\pi_{2n-1}(W_n) = 0$ by connectivity, so the obstructions vanish. Hence $\psi \circ \psi \simeq *$.

Now specialize to W_{2n-1}. We proceed for a while as in Case 1. From (19) we have $\Omega\underline{2} \circ \Omega g \simeq \Omega g \circ \Omega\psi$. On the other hand, we have $2 \circ \Omega g \simeq \Omega g \circ 2$. Substracting then gives a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\psi - 2"] & \Omega S^{4n-3} \arrow[d, "\Omega\underline{2} - 2"] \\
\Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] & \Omega S^{4n-3}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Theorem 5.1 (a), $H \circ (\Omega\underline{2} - 2) \simeq *$. Therefore $H \circ \Omega g \circ (\Omega\psi - 2) \simeq H \circ (\Omega\underline{2} - 2) \circ \Omega g \simeq *$, and so $\Omega g \circ (\Omega\psi - 2)$ lifts to the fiber of H to give a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\psi - 2"] \arrow[d, "\lambda"] & \Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[d, "\Omega g"] \\
S^{4n-4} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega S^{4n-3}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{20}$$

for some map λ.

Next, consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Omega^3 S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\nu"] \arrow[dl] \arrow[d, "\Omega^3\underline{2} - 2"] & W_{2n-1} \arrow[d, "\psi - 2"] \\
\Omega S^{4n-3} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2"] & \Omega^3 S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\nu"] & W_{2n-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The left triangle homotopy commutes by Corollary 1.3. The right square homotopy commutes since $\Omega\nu \circ 2 \simeq 2 \circ \Omega\nu$ and, from (19), $\Omega\nu \circ \Omega^3\underline{2} \simeq \psi \circ \Omega\nu$. The bottom row is two consecutive maps in a homotopy fibration and so is null homotopic. Thus $(\psi - 2) \circ \Omega j \simeq *$, implying that there is a homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega g"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\psi - 2"] & \Omega S^{4n-3} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & \Omega^3 S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\nu"] \arrow[d] & W_{2n-1} \arrow[d, "\psi - 2"] \\
\Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[r] & * \arrow[r] & W_{2n-1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{21}$$

for some map γ.

Juxtaposing the leftmost squares in (20) and (21) gives a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\psi - 2"] \arrow[d, "\lambda"] & \Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\psi - 2"] \arrow[d, "\Omega g"] & \Omega W_{2n-1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
S^{4n-4} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega S^{4n-3} \arrow[r, "\gamma"] & \Omega W_{2n-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The lower row is null homotopic since ΩW_{2n-1} is $(8n-9)$-connected. Thus $(\Omega\psi - 2) \circ (\Omega\psi - 2)$ is null homotopic. Distributing, $\Omega\psi \circ \Omega\psi - 4\Omega\psi + 4$ is null homotopic. We have already seen that $\psi \circ \psi$ is null homotopic, so if we can show that $4\Omega\psi$ is null homotopic then the 4^{th}-power map on ΩW_{2n-1} is null homotopic and we are done.

It remains to show that $4\Omega\psi$ is null homotopic. For this we use another obstruction theory argument. By Theorem 5.2 (d), there is a homotopy pullback

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\nu"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] \arrow[d, "E"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega P"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\phi"] & \Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "\Omega E"] \arrow[d, "H"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \\
\Omega S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega S^{4n-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The composite $S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{\underline{2}} S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{E} \Omega S^{2n}$ is homotopic to the composite $S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{E} \Omega S^{2n} \xrightarrow{2} \Omega S^{2n}$. Thus the fibration diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] \arrow[d, "\underline{2}"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "2"] \\
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\nu"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] \arrow[d, "E"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega P"] & \Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "\Omega E"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
W_n \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\nu"] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E^2"] \arrow[d, "E"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega P"] \arrow[d, "2"] & \Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "\Omega E"] \arrow[d, "2"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "2"] \\
\Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega P"] & \Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "\Omega E"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

give two choices of a morphism of homotopy fibrations which covers the 2^{nd}-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$. The obstructions to these morphisms being fiber homotopic lie in $H^{2n-1}(S^{2n-1}; \pi_{2n-1}(\Omega^3 S^{4n+1}))$. Since $\pi_{2n-1}(\Omega^3 S^{4n+1}) = 0$ by connectivity, the obstructions vanish. Hence $\Omega\nu \circ \psi \simeq 2 \circ \Omega\nu$. By Theorem 5.2 (c), $2 \circ \Omega\nu$ is null homotopic, so there is a lift

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & W_n \arrow[dl, dashed, "l"'] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & \\
\Omega^2 S^{4n-1} \arrow[r, "\Omega i"] & W_n \arrow[r, "\Omega\nu"] & \Omega^3 S^{4n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

for some map l. The connecting map for the fibration along the lower row is $\Omega^2\phi$. By Theorem 5.2 (b), $\phi \circ E^2$ is homotopic to the degree 2 map on S^{4n-1}. Thus $\Omega i \circ \Omega^2\underline{2}$ is null homotopic. Now multiply by 2. By Theorem 5.1 (b), $4 \simeq 2\Omega^2\underline{2}$, and so $4\Omega i \simeq 2(\Omega i \circ \Omega^2\underline{2})$ is null homotopic. Thus Ωi has order 4 and so $4 \circ \psi$ is null homotopic. Hence $4\Omega\psi$ is null homotopic, as required. $\square$

6. The construction of the space $T^{2n+1}(2^r)$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1, deferring some details to later. We begin by defining a space and determining some maps which will establish the context in which to work.

Define the space Y and the map t by the homotopy fibration

$$Y \xrightarrow{t} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{S} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\}$$

where S is the map appearing in Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 6.1. *There is a homotopy fibration* $S^{2n-1}\xrightarrow{f}Y\xrightarrow{g}\Omega W_{2n}$ *for some map* g*, with the property that the composite* $S^{2n-1}\xrightarrow{f}Y\xrightarrow{t}\Omega^2S^{2n+1}$ *is homotopic to* E^2*.*

Proof. The left diagram in Theorem 1.2 implies that there is a homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "\Omega E^2\circ h"] \arrow[d, "\Omega E"] & \Omega^3 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d] \\
Y \arrow[r, "t"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "S"] \arrow[d, "\Omega H"] & \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\} \arrow[d] \\
 & \Omega^2 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The fact that Y is the homotopy fiber of the composite $\Omega E^2\circ h$ implies there is a homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "t"] & \Omega W_{2n} \arrow[d] \\
S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "E"] & \Omega S^{2n} \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "\Omega E^2\circ h"] & \Omega S^{4n-2} \arrow[d, "\Omega E^2"] \\
 & \Omega^3 S^{4n+1} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega^3 S^{4n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

which defines the maps f and g proves the existence of the asserted homotopy fibration. Since t is degree one in $H_{2n-1}(\ )$, so is $t\circ f$, and so $t\circ f\simeq E^2$. □

Remark 6.2. At odd primes one can do a little better. The corresponding map $\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{S}\Omega^2S^{2np+1}\{p\}$ is known to factor through a map $BW_n\xrightarrow{\overline{S}}\Omega^2S^{2np+1}\{p\}$ whose homotopy fiber is ΩW_{np}, which implies there is an analogous homotopy fibration $S^{2n-1}\longrightarrow Y\longrightarrow\Omega W_{np}$. Such a factorization of S is not known at the prime 2, but Lemma 6.1 says that the homotopy fibration for Y exists for other reasons.

The idea behind the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to find successive lifts

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[dll, "\ell_2"'] \arrow[dl, "\ell_1"] \arrow[d, "2^r"] \\
S^{2n-1} \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, "t"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{22}$$

where ℓ_1 exists for $r\geq 2$ and ℓ_2 exists for $r\geq 3$. The two lifts are constructed as consequences of certain extensions.

To describe how ℓ_1 comes about we need to introduce several new spaces and maps. The Moore space $P^{2n+1}(2^r)$ is the homtopy cofiber of the degree 2^r map on S^{2n}. Consider the pinch map

$P^{2n+1}(2^r)\xrightarrow{q}S^{2n+1}$ onto the top cell. This factors as the composite $P^{2n+1}(2^r)\xrightarrow{i}S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\}\longrightarrow S^{2n+1}$ where i is the inclusion of the bottom Moore space. The factorization determines a homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & F \arrow[r, "\imath"] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[d] \\
E \arrow[r] & P^{2n+1}(2^r) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "q"] & S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\} \arrow[d] \\
 & S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, equal] & S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{23}$$

which defines the spaces E and F and the map $\imath$. Taking vertical connecting maps gives a factorization of $\Omega\underline{2}^r$ on ΩS^{2n+1} through $\imath$. This induces an extended homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\underline{2}^r"] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_E"] & E \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r, "\imath"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{24}$$

which defines the map ∂_E. In [T] it was shown that in the analogous odd primary case there is an extension

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_E"] \arrow[d, "S"] & E \arrow[dl, dashed, "e_1"] \\
\Omega^2S^{2np+1}\{p\} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

for some map e_1. However, such an extension cannot exist in the 2-primary case due to an obstruction of order 2, as will be described in Section 7. Instead, we have to modify by introducing an extra factor of 2.

Let $\bar\imath$ be the composite $\bar\imath\colon F\xrightarrow{\imath}\Omega S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{\Omega\underline{2}}\Omega S^{2n+1}$. Define the space $\overline{E}$ by the homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \overline{E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}\} \arrow[d] \\
E \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r, "\imath"] \arrow[d, "\bar\imath"] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "\Omega\underline{2}"] \\
 & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

To see the effect on ∂_E, observe that the factorization of the map $E \longrightarrow F$ through $\overline{E}$ implies there is a homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega^2 \underline{2}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_E"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\overline{E}}"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\} \arrow[d, equal] \\
E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\} \\
F \arrow[r, equal] & F &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which defines the map $\partial_{\overline{E}}$. We prove the following.

Proposition 6.3. *If $r \geq 2$, there is an extension*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_{\overline{E}}"] \arrow[d, "S"] & \overline{E} \arrow[dl, dashed, "e_1"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

for some map e_1.

The proof is a modification of [T, 2.1] and will be described more fully in Section 7. In (24) we saw that ∂_E factors through $\Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\}$. The switch to $\overline{E}$ has the effect of factoring $\partial_{\overline{E}}$ through $\Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^{r+1}\}$. To see this, observe that the factorization of $\Omega S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega \underline{2}^r} \Omega S^{2n+1}$ through $\imath$ in (24) together with the extra copy of $\Omega\underline{2}$ in the definition of $\overline{\imath}$ implies that there is an extended homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\omega"] & \Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r) \arrow[d, "\Omega q"] & \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^{r+1}\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega \underline{2}^{r+1}"] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_{\overline{E}}"] & \overline{E} \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r, "\overline{\imath}"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{25}$$

which defines the map ω. Note that the restriction of ω to the bottom Moore space $P^{2n}(2^r)$ is degree one on the top cell and degree 2 on the bottom cell.

Making use of the factorization of $\partial_{\overline{E}}$ through $\Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^{r+1}\}$, let e_1' be the composite

$$e_1' \colon \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^{r+1}\} \longrightarrow \overline{E} \xrightarrow{e_1} \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\}.$$

Then Proposition 6.3 implies that there is a homotopy commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "S"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^{r+1}\} \arrow[d, "e_1'"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This square determines an extended homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\ell_1"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "t"] & X \arrow[r, "q_X"] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega^2 \underline{2}^{r+1}"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "S"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^{r+1}\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "e_1'"] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \\
 & \Omega^2 S^{2np+1}\{p\} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega^2 S^{2np+1}\{p\} &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{26}$$

which defines the space X and the maps q_X and ℓ_1. In particular, by Theorem 5.1 (b) we have $\Omega^2\underline{2}^{r+1} \simeq 2^{r+1}$, and so ℓ_1 is a choice of a lift of the 2^{r+1}-power map with the additional property that it is a connecting map of a homotopy fibration.

Further, the factorization of e_1' through $\overline{E}$ determines a homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r) \arrow[r, "\omega_X"] \arrow[d, equal] & X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[d] \\
\Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r) \arrow[r, "\omega"] & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^{r+1}\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "e_1'"] & \overline{E} \arrow[d, "e_1"] \\
 & \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{27}$$

which defines the space R and the map ω_X. Note that the factorizations of ω in (27) and (25) imply that the composite $\Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r) \xrightarrow{\omega_X} X \xrightarrow{q_X} \Omega S^{2n+1}$ is homotopic to Ωq.

Consider the homotopy fibration $Y \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{q_X} \Omega S^{2n+1}$ and the map $Y \xrightarrow{g} \Omega W_{2n}$ from Lemma 6.1.

Proposition 6.4. *If $r \geq 2$, there is an extension*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & X \arrow[dl, dashed, "e_2"] \\
\Omega W_{2n} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

for some map e_2.

Proposition 6.4 implies that for $r \geq 2$ there is an extended homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\ell_2"] \arrow[d, equal] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"] & T^{2n+1}(2^{r+1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\ell_1"] & Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & X \arrow[r, "q_X"] \arrow[d] & \Omega S^{2n+1} \\
 & \Omega W_{np} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega W_{np} &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{28}$$

which defines the space $T^{2n+1}(2^{r+1})$ and the map ℓ_2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: The top row in (28) is the asserted homotopy fibration, which exists if $r+1 \geq 3$. Relabel ℓ_2 as φ_r. All that is left to check is that φ_r has the property that $E^2 \circ \varphi_r$ is homotopic to the 2^{r+1}-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$. This follows from juxtaposing the squares of connecting maps in (26) and (28), giving a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\varphi_r"] \arrow[d, equal] & S^{2n-1} \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\ell_1"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \arrow[d, "t"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "2^{r+1}"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1},
\end{tikzcd}$$

and noting that by Lemma 6.1 the composite $t \circ f$ is homotopic to E^2. □

7. Deferred proofs

Two stumbling blocks arise when adapting the odd primary extension of S through ∂_E in [T, Prop 5.1] to the 2-primary case. One is minor and one is major.

The minor stumbling block is that $S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\}$ is almost never an H-space while in the odd primary case $S^{2n+1}\{p^r\}$ is always an H-space. This was used to show that certain Samelson products on $\Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r)$ compose trivially into $\Omega S^{2n+1}\{p^r\}$. To recover this in the 2-primary case we have to argue differently. In general, suppose we are given maps $a\colon P^s(2^r) \longrightarrow \Omega X$ and $b\colon P^t(2^r) \longrightarrow \Omega X$. If $r \geq 2$ then the smash $P^s(2^r) \wedge P^t(2^r)$ is homotopy equivalent to the wedge $P^{s+t}(2^r) \vee P^{s+t-1}(2^r)$. This lets us form the mod-2^r Samelson product of a and b as the composite

$$\langle a, b\rangle\colon P^{s+t}(2^r) \longrightarrow P^s(2^r) \wedge P^t(2^r) \xrightarrow{[a,b]} \Omega X$$

where $[a,b]$ is the ordinary Samelson product of a and b. In our case, let $\nu\colon P^{2n}(2^r) \longrightarrow \Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r)$ be the adjoint of the identity map and let $\mu\colon S^{2n-1} \longrightarrow \Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r)$ be the inclusion of the bottom cell. Let $\mathfrak{ad}^0 = \mu$, $\mathfrak{ad}^1$ be the ordinary Samelson product $[\nu, \mu]$, and for $j > 1$ let $\mathfrak{ad}^{j-1} : P^{2nj-1}(2^r) \longrightarrow \Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r)$ be the mod-p^r Samelson product defined inductively by $\mathfrak{ad}^{j-1} = \langle \nu, \mathfrak{ad}^{j-2}\rangle$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $r \geq 2$. For $j > 1$ the mod-2^r Samelson products $P^{2nj-1}(2^r) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{ad}^{j-1}} \Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r)$ all compose trivially with the map $\Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r) \xrightarrow{\Omega i} \Omega S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\}$.*

Proof. Since Ωi is a loop map we have $\Omega i \circ \mathfrak{ad}^{k-1} \simeq \langle \Omega i \circ \nu, \Omega i \circ \mathfrak{ad}^{k-2} \rangle$. Thus if $\Omega i \circ \mathfrak{ad}^1$ is null homotopic then iteratively so is $\Omega i \circ \mathfrak{ad}^{k-1}$ for each $k > 2$. To show $\Omega i \circ \mathfrak{ad}^1$ is null homotopic it is equivalent to adjoint and show that the mod-2^r Whitehead product $P^{4n}(2^r) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathfrak{ad}}^1} P^{2n+1}(2^r)$ composes trivially with $P^{2n+1}(2^r) \xrightarrow{i} S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\}$.

From the homotopy cofibration $S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\underline{2}^r} S^{2n+1} \longrightarrow P^{2n+1}(2^r)$ we obtain a homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\underline{2}^r"] \arrow[d] & S^{2n+1} \arrow[d] \\
\Omega P^{2n+1}(s^r) \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r) \arrow[r] & * \arrow[r] & P^{2n+1}(2^r)
\end{tikzcd}$$

which defines the map γ. The left square implies that γ_* is degree one in $H_{2n}(\ )$, and so the Bockstein implies that γ_* is the identity on the bottom Moore space. That is, the composite $P^{2n+1}(2^r) \xrightarrow{i} S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r)$ is the inclusion of the bottom Moore space and so it is homotopic to the suspension E. Any Whitehead product suspends trivially, so $E \circ \widetilde{\mathfrak{ad}}^1$ is null homotopic. We claim that γ is a homotopy equivalence on $4n$-skeletons, in which case $i \circ \widetilde{\mathfrak{ad}}^1$ is null homotopic and we are done.

It suffices to show that γ_* is an isomorphism in mod-2 homology when restricted to $4n$-skeletons. The Serre spectral sequence for the homotopy fibration $\Omega S^{2n+1} \longrightarrow S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\} \longrightarrow S^{2n+1}$ collapses, implying that $H_*(S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}[x] \otimes \Lambda(y)$, where the degrees of x and y are $2n$ and $2n+1$ respectively. A basis for the homology of the $4n$-skeleton of $S^{2n+1}\{\underline{2}^r\}$ is therefore $\{x, y, x^2\}$. On the other hand, since $P^{2n+2}(2^r)$ is a suspension, the Bott-Samelson Theorem implies that $H_*(\Omega P^{2n+1}(2^r)) \cong T(u,v)$, where $T(\ )$ is the free tensor algebra, and u and v have degrees $2n$ and $2n+1$ respectively. A basis for the homology of the $4n$-skeleton of $\Omega P^{2n+2}(2^r)$ is therefore $\{u, v, u^2\}$. Since γ is the identity on the bottom Moore space, we have $\gamma_*(x) = u$ and $\gamma_*(y) = v$. The left square in the fibration diagram above also implies that $\gamma_*(x^2) = u^2$. Thus γ_* is an isomorphism on the $4n$-skeletons. □

The major stumbling block is that to get the inductive argument in [T, 2.1] off the ground requires the existence of a homotopy commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2n-1} \times \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "E^2 \cdot 1"] \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "S"] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "S"] & \Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{29}$$

The odd primary version, with S mapping to $\Omega^2 S^{2np+1}\{p\}$, does commute because it is known that S is an H-map. The 2-primary version in (29) does not commute because it does not even commute in homology. To see this, observe that if $x \in H_{2n-1}(S^{2n-1})$ and $a \in H_{2n-1}(\Omega^2 S^{2n+1})$ are generators, then $(E^2 \cdot 1)_*(x, a) = a^2$. Note that a^2 is the Bockstein of the generator $b \in H_{4n-1}(\Omega^2 S^{2n+1})$. Under ΩH we have $(\Omega H)_*(b) = v$, where $v \in H_{4n-1}(\Omega^2 S^{4n+1})$ is a generator. Since S is a lift of ΩH through $\Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\} \xrightarrow{\Omega\delta} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ and $(\Omega\delta)_*$ is onto, we have $S_*(b) = \overline{v}$, where $(\Omega\delta)_*(\overline{v}) = v$. Now $\beta\overline{v}$ is nonzero and generates $H_{4n-2}(\Omega^2 S^{4n+1}\{2\})$. Thus $S_*(a^2) = \beta\overline{v}$ and so $(S \circ (E^2 \cdot 1))_*(x, y) \neq 0$. On the other hand, if the diagram above were to commute then we would have $(S \circ (E^2 \cdot 1))_*(x, y) = 0$.

To get around this obstruction, we introduce a degree 2 map on S^{2n-1}. In Lemma 7.4 we show that $S \circ ((E^2 \circ \underline{2}) \cdot 1)$ does factor as $S \circ \pi_1$, and then we use this as the base case in a modified induction to prove Proposition 6.3.

To prepare for Lemma 7.4, we make three preliminary observations. First, in general, if A and B are spaces, then there is a homotopy equivalence $e\colon \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B \vee (\Sigma A \wedge B) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma(A \times B)$ given by $\Sigma i_1 + \Sigma i_2 + j$, where i_1 and i_2 are the inclusions of the left and right factors respectively, and j is the canonical map of a join into the suspension of a product. If $A = B$ and $B = \Omega X$ with loop multiplication μ then the composite $\mu^*\colon \Sigma\Omega X \wedge \Omega X \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma(\Omega X \times \Omega X) \xrightarrow{\Sigma\mu} \Sigma\Omega X$ is the Hopf construction on ΩX and Ganea [Ga] showed that there is a homotopy fibration $\Sigma\Omega X \wedge \Sigma\Omega X \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \Sigma\Omega X \xrightarrow{ev} X$.

The second observation is a well-known factorization of the 2^{nd}-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}\{2\}$. Consider the homotopy cofibration sequence $S^2 \xrightarrow{2} S^2 \xrightarrow{i} P^3(2) \xrightarrow{q} S^3$, where $P^3(2)$ is the mod-2 Moore space, i is the inclusion of the bottom cell, and q is the pinch onto the top cell. This cofibration sequence induces a homotopy fibration sequence

$$\mathrm{Map}_*(S^3, S^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{q^*} \mathrm{Map}_*(P^3(2), S^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathrm{Map}_*(S^2, S^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{\underline{2}^*} \mathrm{Map}_*(S^2, S^{2n+1}).$$

Since $\mathrm{Map}_*(S^2, S^{2n+1}) = \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ and $\underline{2}^*$ is the 2^{nd}-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$, this homotopy fibration sequence can be identified with the homotopy fibration sequence

$$\Omega^3 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega\partial} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}\{2\} \xrightarrow{\Omega\delta} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{2} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Map}_*(P^3(2), S^{2n+1}) = \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}\{2\}$, and so the 2^{nd}-power map on $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}\{2\}$ is determined by the degree 2 map on $P^3(2)$. This factors as the composite $P^3(2) \xrightarrow{q} S^3 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^2 \xrightarrow{i} P^3(2)$, where η is an element of Hopf invariant one. Thus we have the following.

Lemma 7.2. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}\{2\} \arrow[rr, "2"] \arrow[d, "\Omega\delta"] & & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}\{2\} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\eta^*"] & \Omega^3 S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\partial"] & \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}\{2\}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

The third observation is a consequence of the James construction. Given a map $f\colon : A \longrightarrow \Omega X$, the James construction implies that there is a unique H-map $\overline{f}\colon \Omega\Sigma A \longrightarrow \Omega X$ such that $\overline{f}\circ E \simeq f$. The uniqueness assertion immediately implies the following lemma.

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose $A = \Omega A'$ and f is an H-map. Then there is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega\Sigma\Omega A' \arrow[r, "\overline{f}"] \arrow[d, "\Omega ev"] & \Omega X \arrow[d, equal] \\
\Omega A' \arrow[r, "f"] & \Omega X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Now we are ready to prove the modified square.

Lemma 7.4. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2n-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "(E^2\circ\underline{2})\cdot 1"] \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & \Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[d, "S"] \\
\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "S"] & \Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The adjoint of the upper direction around the diagram is homotopic to the composite $\psi\colon \Sigma(S^{2n-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(E^2\circ\underline{2})\cdot 1} \Sigma\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{S}} \Omega S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ where $\widetilde{S}$ is the adjoint of S. Precomposing with the homotopy equivalence $e\colon \Sigma S^{2n-1}\vee\Sigma\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\vee(\Sigma S^{2n-1}\wedge\Omega^2S^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma(S^{2n-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1})$, the assertion of the lemma is therefore equivalent to saying that the restriction of $\psi\circ e$ to the first and third wedge summands is null homotopic. As the restriction to the first wedge summand is null homotopic by connectivity, it remains only to show that $\psi\circ j$ is null homotopic.

Consider the map $\Sigma((E^2\circ\underline{2})\cdot 1)$. By definition, $(E^2\circ\underline{2})\cdot 1 \simeq \mu\circ((E^2\circ\underline{2})\times 1)$, where μ is the loop space multiplication on $\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$. The naturality of j therefore implies that $\psi\circ j$ is homotopic to $\widetilde{S}\circ\Sigma\mu\circ j\circ(\Sigma(E^2\circ\underline{2})\wedge 1)$, that is, to $\widetilde{S}\circ\mu^*\circ(\Sigma(E^2\circ\underline{2})\wedge 1)$. Now $\Sigma(E^2\circ\underline{2})\wedge 1 \simeq (\Sigma E^2\wedge 1)\circ(\Sigma\underline{2}\wedge 1)$, and $\Sigma\underline{2}\wedge 1$ is homotopic to the map of degree 2 on $\Sigma S^{2n-1}\wedge\Omega^2S^{2n+1}$. Since this is the suspension of the degree 2 map on $S^{2n-1}\wedge\Omega^2S^{2n+1}$, the adjoint of $\psi\circ j$ is homotopic to the composite $S^{2n-1}\wedge\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\underline{2}} S^{2n-1}\wedge\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ where ψ' is the adjoint of $\widetilde{S}\circ\mu^*\circ(\Sigma E^2\wedge 1)$. As $\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ is a loop space, $\psi'\circ\underline{2}$ is homotopic to the composite $S^{2n-1}\wedge\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\} \xrightarrow{2} \Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$.

By Lemma 7.2, the 2^{nd}-power map on $\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{4\}$ is homotopic to the composite $\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\} \xrightarrow{\Omega\delta} \Omega^2S^{4n+1} \xrightarrow{\eta^*} \Omega^3S^{4n+1} \longrightarrow \Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$. Thus $2\circ\psi'$ factors through $\Omega\delta\circ\psi'$. Since ψ' is the adjoint of ψ, it is homotopic to the composite $\Omega\psi\circ E$, which by the definition of ψ, is the composite $\Omega\widetilde{S}\circ\Omega\mu^*\circ\Omega(\Sigma E^2\wedge 1)\circ E$. We claim that $\Omega\delta\circ\Omega\widetilde{S}\circ\Omega\mu^*$ is null homotopic, in which case $\Omega\delta\circ\psi'$ is null homotopic and we are done. To see this, observe that the composite $\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{E}\Omega\Sigma\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{\Omega\widetilde{S}}\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ is homotopic to S since $\widetilde{S}$ is the adjoint of S. Thus $\Omega\delta\circ\Omega\widetilde{S}$ is an H-map such that $(\Omega\delta\circ\Omega\widetilde{S})\circ E\simeq\Omega H$. By Lemma 7.3, this implies that there is a homotopy commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega\Sigma\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega\widetilde{S}"] \arrow[d, "\Omega ev"] & \Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\} \arrow[d, "\Omega\delta"] \\
\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\Omega H"] & \Omega^2S^{4n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus there is a string of homotopies

$$\Omega\delta\circ\Omega\widetilde{S}\circ\Omega\mu^*\simeq\Omega H\circ\Omega ev\circ\Omega\mu^*\simeq\Omega H\circ *\simeq *,$$

where Ganea's fibration has been used to show that $ev\circ\mu^*\simeq *$. This completes the proof. □

With the stumbling blocks addressed, we move on to prove Propositions 6.3 and 6.4.

Proof of Proposition 6.3: We follow the proof of the odd primary analogue in [T, 2.1]. The idea is to filter $\overline{E}$ by certain homotopy pushouts and construct extensions iteratively as pushout maps. The case of E is carried along to indicate differences, and where modifications arise.

The mod-2 homology Serre spectral sequence for the homotopy fibration $\Omega S^{2n+1}\longrightarrow F\longrightarrow P^{2n+1}(p^r)$ shows that $\widetilde{H}_*(F;\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ is generated as a vector space by elements x_{2nk} in degrees $2nk$ for $k\geq 1$. Let F_k be the $2nk$-skeleton of F and observe that there is a homotopy cofibration $S^{2nk-1}\xrightarrow{g_{k-1}}F_{k-1}\longrightarrow F_k$. Define corresponding filtrations on E and $\overline{E}$ by homotopy pullback diagrams

(30)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[d] & & \overline{E}_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E} \arrow[d] \\
F_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[d, "\imath"] & & F_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[d, "\bar{\imath}"] \\
\Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega S^{2n+1} & & \Omega S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, equal] & \Omega S^{2n+1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $F_0=*$ so $E_0\simeq\Omega^2S^{2n+1}$ and $\overline{E}_0\simeq\Omega^2S^{2n+1}$. As $F=\varinjlim F_{k-1}$ we have $E=\varinjlim E_{k-1}$ and $\overline{E}=\varinjlim\overline{E}_{k-1}$.

The filtration of E implies by [T, 4.2] or [GT, 2.2] that for $k\geq 1$ there are homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2nk-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\theta_{k-1}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & E_{k-1} \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] & E_k
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π_2 is the projection, $\theta_0\simeq E^2\cdot 1$, and for $k>1$, θ_{k-1} restricted to S^{2nk-1} is a lift of the map $S^{2nk-1}\xrightarrow{g_{k-1}}F_{k-1}$. By Lemma 7.1, the argument of [T, 5.1] goes through without change for $p=2$ and $r>1$. The outcome is that the lift of g_{k-1} can be chosen to be divisible by 2^r, and this is used to show that θ_{k-1} factors as a composite $S^{2nk-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{\underline{2}^r\times 1}S^{2nk-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{\psi_{k-1}}\overline{E}_{k-1}$ for some map ψ_{k-1}. We would like to extend the map $\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{S}\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ across each E_k by a pushout map, but the sticking point is θ_0, as (29) implies that it is not possible to extend S across E_1.

Instead, we replace E by $\overline{E}$. Now the filtration of $\overline{E}$ implies by [T, 4.2] or [GT, 2.2] that for $k\geq 1$ there are homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2nk-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r, "\overline{\theta}_{k-1}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & \overline{E}_{k-1} \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^2S^{2n+1} \arrow[r] & \overline{E}_k
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π_2 is the projection, $\overline{\theta}_0\simeq(E^2\circ\underline{2})\cdot 1$, and for $k>1$, $\overline{\theta}_{k-1}$ restricted to S^{2nk-1} is a lift of the map $S^{2nk-1}\xrightarrow{g_{k-1}}F_{k-1}$. The presence of $\underline{2}$ in $\overline{\theta}_0$ is due to $\overline{\imath}$ being of degree 2 on the bottom cell, whereas $\imath$ is degree one. Also, since the map $E\longrightarrow F$ factors as the composite $E\longrightarrow\overline{E}\longrightarrow F$, the same holds true at each filtration level, so the lift of g_{k-1} to $\overline{E}_{k-1}$ can be chosen to be the composite $S^{2nk-1}\longrightarrow E_{k-1}\longrightarrow\overline{E}_{k-1}$, where the left map is divisible by 2^r. This can then be used to show argue as before that for $k>1$, $\overline{\theta}_{k-1}$ factors as a composite $S^{2nk-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{\underline{2}^r\times 1}S^{2nk-1}\times\Omega^2S^{2n+1}\xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}_{k-1}}\overline{E}_{k-1}$ for some map $\overline{\psi}_{k-1}$.

When $k=1$, Lemma 7.4 implies that there is a pushout map $\epsilon_1\colon\overline{E}_1\longrightarrow\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ which extends S. When $k>1$, the factorization of $\overline{\theta}_{k-1}$ through $\underline{2}^r\times 1$ where $r\geq 2$, together with the fact that $\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ is a homotopy associative H-space whose 4^{th}-power map is null homotopic [N], lets us apply [T, 4.3] or [GT, 2.3] to show that for each $k>1$ there is a pushout map $\epsilon_k\colon\overline{E}_k\longrightarrow\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ which extends ϵ_{k-1}. In the limit we therefore obtain a map $\overline{E}\xrightarrow{e_1}\Omega^2S^{4n+1}\{2\}$ which extends S, as asserted. □

Proof of Proposition 6.4: This follows the proof of the odd primary analogue in [T, 2.2]. Filter X by homotopy pullbacks

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d] \\
X_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d, "q_X"] \\
J_k(S^{2n}) \arrow[r] & \Omega S^{2n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $J_{k-1}(S^{2n})$ is the $(2nk-1)$-skeleton of ΩS^{2n+1}, and note that there is a homotopy cofibration $S^{2nk-1}\longrightarrow J_{k-1}(S^{2n})\longrightarrow J_k(S^{2n})$. Note that $J_0(S^{2n})\simeq *$ so $X_0\simeq Y$, and as $\Omega S^{2n+1}=\varinjlim J_k(S^{2n})$, we have $X=\varinjlim X_k$. Since [T, 6.1] holds without change for $p=2$ and $r>1$, the argument in [T, 7.3] goes through to show that there are homotopy pushouts

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2nk-1} \times Y \arrow[r, "\theta'_{k-1}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & X_{k-1} \arrow[d] \\
Y \arrow[r] & X_k
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π_2 is the projection and $\Sigma\theta'_{k-1}$ restricted to $\Sigma(S^{2nk-1} \rtimes \Omega^2 S^{2n+1})$ is divisible by 2^r for each $k \geq 1$. Now start with the map $\epsilon'_0\colon X_0 = Y \xrightarrow{g} \Omega W_{2n}$. The divisibility property of $\Sigma\theta'_{k-1}$, together with the fact from Theorem 1.5 that ΩW_{2n} is a homotopy associative H-space whose 4^{th}-power map is null homotopic, lets us apply [T, 4.3] or [GT, 2.3] to show that for each $k \geq 1$ there is a pushout map $\epsilon'_k\colon X_k \longrightarrow \Omega W_{2n}$ which extends ϵ'_{k-1}, and therefore extends g, as asserted. ☐

REFERENCES

[A] D. Anick, Differential algebras in topology, Research Notes in Math. 3, AK Peters, 1993.

[B-R] M.G. Barratt, F.R. Cohen, B. Gray, M. Mahowald, and W. Richter, Two results on the 2-local EHP spectral sequence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 1257-1261.

[C] F.R. Cohen, A course in some aspects of classical homotopy theory, Lecture Notes in Math. **1286**, Springer-Verlag (1987), 1-92.

[CMN1] F.R. Cohen, J.C. Moore, and J.A. Neisendorfer, Torsion in homotopy groups, *Ann. of Math.* **109** (1979), 121-168.

[CMN2] F.R. Cohen, J.C. Moore, and J.A. Neisendorfer, The double suspension and exponents of the homotopy groups of spheres, *Ann. of Math.* **110** (1979), 549-565.

[CJ] F.R. Cohen and I. Johnson, On the degree 2 map for a sphere, *Recent developments in Algebraic Topology*, 83-89, Contemp. Math. **407**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[Ga] T. Ganea, A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspension, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **39** (1965), 295-322.

[Gr] B. Gray, On the iterated suspension, *Topology* **27** (1988), 301-310.

[GT] B. Gray and S. Theriault, An elementary construction of Anick's fibration, submitted.

[H] J.R. Harper, *Secondary cohomology operations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **49**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[M] J.R. Milgram, The bar construction and abelian H-spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* **11** (1967), 242-250.

[N] J.A. Neisendorfer, Properties of certain H-spaces, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford.* **34** (1983), 201-209.

[PS] F.P. Peterson and N. Stein, Secondary cohomology operations: two formulas, *Amer. J. Math.* **81** (1959), 281-305.

[R] W. Richter, The H-space squaring map on $\Omega^3 S^{4n+1}$ factors through the double suspension, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 3889-3900.

[S] P. Selick, 2-primary exponents for the homotopy groups of spheres, *Topology* **23** (1984), 97-99.

[T] S.D. Theriault, Anick's fibration and the odd primary homotopy exponent of spheres, submitted.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN, ABERDEEN AB24 3UE, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: `s.theriault@maths.abdn.ac.uk`